

# CY 2024 Real World Testing Results for SRSPro

### **Executive Summary**

This is the documentation of the results of our real-world testing based on our 2024 Real World Test Plan for CY 2024 for SRSPro's certified EHR solution, and we will be testing on our most current certified version. It provides the real-world test measurements and metrics that meet the intent and objectives of ONC's Condition of Certification and Maintenance of Certification requirement for real-world testing (§ 170.405 Real world testing) to evaluate compliance with the certification criteria and interoperability of exchanging electronic health information (EHI) within the care and practice setting which it is targeted for use.

As ONC has stated in its rule, "The objective of real-world testing is to verify the extent to which certified health IT deployed in operational production settings is demonstrating continued compliance to certification criteria and functioning with the intended use cases as part of the overall maintenance of a health IT's certification." We have worked toward this objective in designing our test plan and its subsequent real-world testing measurements and metrics.

This document reports the results of the final testing measurements and metrics used to demonstrate our product interoperability within production settings. Within each measure, we document testing methodology, associated ONC criteria, justification for measurement, outcomes from the testing, and care settings applied for this measure. We also include the number of clients to use for testing, how our test cases were created, our selected methodology, our general approach and justification for decisions and any variances from the CY 2024 Real World Test Plan.

A table of contents with hyperlinks is provided later in the plan for quick access to any document section, including the testing measurements and metrics found at the end of this document. Our signed attestation of compliance with the real-world testing requirements is on the following page.



# **Developer Attestation**

This Real-World Testing (RWT) Results Report is complete with all required elements, including measures that address all certification criteria and care settings. All information in this report is up to date and fully addresses the health IT developer's RWT requirements.

Authorized Representative Name: Kaitlin Rouantree

Authorized Representative Email: k.rouantree@nextech.com

Authorized Representative Phone: 201-746-7987

Authorized Representative Signature: Kaitlin Rouantree

DATE: 1/13/25



| Executive Summary                  |   | 1  |
|------------------------------------|---|----|
| Developer Attestation              | 1   | 2  |
| General Information .              |   | 4  |
| Relied-Upon Software               | 2   | 4  |
| Changes to Original P              | lan   | 4  |
| Standards Version Ad               | vancement Process (SVAP) Updates  | 5  |
| Real World Testing M               | easurements   | 7  |
| Testing Methodolo                  | gies  | 7  |
| Number of Clients                  | Sites   | 7  |
| Care and Practice S                | ettings Targeted  | 8  |
| RWT Measure #1.                    | Number of Transition of Care C-CDAs Successfully Sent                         | 9  |
| RWT Measure #2.                    | Number of C-CDAs Received and/or Incorporated 1                               | 1  |
| RWT Measure #3. Original Plan)     | Number of Patients Given Access to Portal – REMOVED (see <i>Changes to</i> 13 |    |
| RWT Measure #4. IIS/Immunization R | Number of Immunization Messages Successfully Sent to egistries                | .4 |
| RWT Measure #5.                    | Number of Direct Messages Successfully Sent 1                                 | 6  |
| RWT Measure #6.                    | Number of Patient Batch Exports Run   | 8  |
| RWT Measure #7.                    | Number of Quality Measures Successfully Reported on to CMS 2                  | 0  |
| RWT Measure #8.<br>Service         | Number of API Client Applications Successfully Connected to our API 22        |    |



### **General Information**

• Plan Report ID Number: SRSPro\_RWTPlan\_2024

Developer Name: Nextech
 Product Name(s): SRS EHR
 Version Numbers(s): 12

• Certified Health IT Criteria: 315(b)(1)-(2), (b)(6), (c)(1)-(3), (f)(1), (g)(7), (h)(1)

• Product List (CHPL) ID(s) and Link(s):

o ONC-ACB Certification ID: 15.04.04.2051.SRSE.12.03.1.221202

o <a href="https://chpl.healthit.gov/#/listing/11040">https://chpl.healthit.gov/#/listing/11040</a>

Developer Real World Testing Page URL: <a href="https://www.nextech.com/compliance/onc-health-it/srspro">https://www.nextech.com/compliance/onc-health-it/srspro</a>

# Relied-Upon Software

| Health IT Module | Relied-Upon<br>Software | Testing Measure  | Associated<br>Criteria |
|------------------|-------------------------|--|------------------------|
| SRSPro           | Surescripts             | RWT Measure #1 – Number<br>of Transition of Care C-CDAs<br>Successfully Sent | 315(b)(1)              |

# Changes to Original Plan

If any changes have been made to the approach for Real World Testing that differs from what was outlined in the 2024 Real World Test Plan, those changes are noted here.

| Summary of Change   | Reason | Impact  |
|---|--------|---|
| #3.Number of Patients Given<br>Access to Portal from Real |        | This functionality is tested<br>through 3 <sup>rd</sup> party patient<br>portal |



# Timeline and Milestones for Real World Testing CY 2024

Our current proposed timeline for real-world testing is described below.

- Q1-2024: Begin communication with clients to ask for their support and participation in real world testing. The goal is to have a sufficient number of clients committed for real-world testing by the end of Q1-2024.
- Q2-Q4 2024. Between the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> quarters of CY 2024, the real-world testing with clients will be scheduled and performed. It is expected that a preparatory call will be done with clients to prepare them for testing activities. Results will be documented in the test results section of the test methods and ultimately used to build the test report. If any non-compliances are observed, we will notify the ONC-ACB of the findings and make the necessary changes required.
- Q4-2024. During the last quarter of the year, the CY 2024 real-world test plan will be completed according to ONC and ONC-ACB requirements and expectations. Test plan will be prepared for submission before the end of the year.

# Standards Version Advancement Process (SVAP) Updates

For CY 2024, we are not planning to make any version updates on approved standards through the SVAP process.

| Standard (and version)                                   | N/A |
|--|-----|
| Updated certification criteria and associated product    | N/A |
| Health IT Module CHPL ID                                 | N/A |
| Method used for standard update                          | N/A |
| Date of ONC-ACB notification                             | N/A |
| Date of customer notification (SVAP only)                | N/A |
| Conformance measure                                      | N/A |
| USCDI-updated certification criteria (and USCDI version) | N/A |

STANDARDS UPDATES (INCLUDING STANDARDS VERSION ADVANCEMENT PROCESS (SVAP) AND UNITED STATES CORE DATA FOR INTEROPERABILITY (USCDI))

Both required and voluntary standards updates must be addressed in the Real World Testing plan. Real World Testing plans must include all certified health IT updated to newer versions of standards prior to August 31 of the year in which the updates were made. Indicate as to whether optional standards, via SVAP and/or USCDI, are leveraged as part of the certification of your health IT product(s).



[ ] Yes, I have products certified with voluntary SVAP or USCDI standards. (If yes, please complete the table below.

[X] No, none of my products include these voluntary standards.

| Standard (and version)                        | N/A |
|---|-----|
| Updated certification criteria and associated | N/A |
| product                                       |     |
| CHPL Product Number                           | N/A |
| Conformance Measure                           | N/A |



# **Real World Testing Measurements**

The measurements for our real-world testing plan are described below. Each measurement contains:

- Associated ONC criteria
- Testing Methodology used
- Description of the measurement/metric
- Justification for the measurement/metric
- Expected and actual outcomes in testing for the measurement/metric
- Number of client sites to use in testing (if applicable)
- Care settings which are targeted with the measurement/metric

In each measurement, we elaborate specifically on our justification for choosing this measure and the expected and actual outcomes. All measurements were chosen to best evaluate compliance with the certification criteria and interoperability of exchanging electronic health information (EHI) within the certified EHR.

#### **Testing Methodologies**

For each measurement, a testing methodology is used. For our test plan, we use the following methodologies.

**Reporting/Logging:** This methodology uses the logging or reporting capabilities of the EHR to examine functionality performed in the system. A typical example of this is the measure reporting done for the automate measure calculation required in 315(g)(2), but it can also be aspects of the audit log or customized reports from the EHR. This methodology often provides historical measurement reports which can be accessed at different times of the year and evaluate interoperability of EHR functionality, and it can serve as a benchmark for evaluating real world testing over multiple time intervals.

**Compliance and/or Tool:** This methodology uses inspection to evaluate if EHR is compliant to the ONC criteria requirements. It can be done through 1-v-1 inspection testing or utilize various tools to measure or evaluate compliance and interoperability. If an EHR Module capabilities is not widely used in production by current users, compliance inspection can provide assurance criteria is working as previously certified.

#### **Number of Clients Sites**

Within each measure, we note the minimum number of clients or client sites we plan to use for this measure evaluation. The numbers vary depending on the methodology as well as overall use of the associated EHR Module criteria by our users. For criteria that are not widely used by our customer base, we may test the respective measure in our own production-sandbox environment given lack of customer experience with the criteria functionality.



### Care and Practice Settings Targeted

SRSPro EHR targets general ambulatory practices of different sizes, and our measures were designed to collectively address this care setting.



#### RWT Measure #1. Number of Transition of Care C-CDAs Successfully Sent

Associated Criteria: 315(b)(1)

Testing Methodology: Reporting/Logging

#### Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many C-CDAs are created and successfully sent from the EHR Module to a 3<sup>rd</sup> party via direct messaging during a transition of care event over the course of a given interval.

The interval for this measure will be for a minimum of three (3) consecutive months during the calendar year. This will ensure sufficient time to gauge and measure interoperability.

#### Measurement Justification

This measure will provide a numeric value to indicate both how often this interoperability feature is being used as well as its compliance to the requirement. An increment to this measure indicates that the EHR can create a C-CDA patient summary record, including the ability to record all required clinical data elements, and by sending the C-CDA patient summary record, the EHR demonstrates successful interoperability of an exchanged patient record with a 3rd party. This measurement shows support for Direct Edge protocol in connecting to a HISP for successful transmission.

#### Measurement Expected Outcome

The measurement will produce numeric results over a given interval. We will utilize various reports and audit logs, including Automated Measure (315.g.2) reports, to determine our measure count.

A successful measure increment indicates compliance to the underlying ONC criteria. It will show that the EHR can create the C-CDA patient summary record, including record required clinical data elements. In sending the C-CDA patient summary record, the EHR will demonstrate ability to confirm successful interoperability of an exchanged patient record with a 3rd party, including support for Direct Edge protocol in connecting to a HISP. Successfully completing this measure also implies users have a general understanding of the EHR functional operations for this EHR Module and an overall support for the user experience while not completing this measure may indicate lack of understanding or possibly lack of use or need for this functionality.

We will use the measure count to establish a historic baseline of expected interoperability use so it can be used in subsequent real world testing efforts.



#### Care Settings and Number of Clients Site to Test

We designed this measure to test a general ambulatory care setting that we support and target. We will test a minimum of three (3) customer practice sites(s). This number covers a sufficient percentage of existing practices to provide a viable sample of users of the certified EHRs to demonstrate real world interoperability.

| Element Tested            | 315(b)(1)  |           |          |  |
|---------------------------|--|-----------|----------|--|
| Test Name                 | Number of Transition of Care C-CDAs Successfully Sent  |           |          |  |
| Measure Used              | How many C-CDAs are created and successfully sent from the EHR Module to a 3 <sup>rd</sup> party via Direct messaging during a transition of care event over the course of a given interval. |           |          |  |
| Data Collection<br>Method | Meaningful Use Reports   |           |          |  |
| Testing Results           | Results  | Variances | Comments |  |
| Client #1                 | 3  | 3         |          |  |
| Client #2                 | 0  |           |          |  |
| Client #3                 | 2  |           |          |  |



#### RWT Measure #2. Number of C-CDAs Received and/or Incorporated

Associated Criteria: 315(b)(2)

Testing Methodology: Reporting/Logging

#### Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many C-CDAs are successfully received and/or incorporated upon receipt from a 3rd party via direct messaging during a transition of care event over the course of a given interval.

The interval for this measure will be for a minimum of three (3) consecutive months during the calendar year. This will ensure sufficient time to gauge and measure interoperability.

#### Measurement Justification

This measure will provide a numeric value to indicate both how often this interoperability feature is being used as well as its compliance to the requirement. An increment to this measure indicates that the EHR can receive a C-CDA patient summary record, and by incorporating the C-CDA patient summary record, the EHR demonstrates successful interoperability of problems, medications, and medication allergies of patient record with a 3rd party. This measurement shows support for Direct Edge protocol in connecting to a HISP for successful transmission.

#### Measurement Expected Outcome

The measurement will produce numeric results over a given interval. We will utilize various reports and audit logs, including Automated Measure (315.g.2) reports, to determine our measure count.

A successful measure increment indicates compliance to the underlying ONC criteria. It will show that the EHR can receive a C-CDA patient summary record. In incorporating the C-CDA patient summary record, the EHR will demonstrate successful interoperability of problems, medications, and medication allergies of patient record with a 3rd party, including support for Direct Edge protocol in connecting to a HISP. Successfully completing this measure also implies users have a general understanding of the EHR functional operations for this EHR Module and an overall support for the user experience while not completing this measure may indicate lack of understanding or possibly lack of use or need for this functionality.

We will use the measure count to establish a historic baseline of expected interoperability use so it can be used in subsequent real world testing efforts.



#### Care Settings and Number of Clients Site to Test

We designed this measure to test a general ambulatory care setting that we support and target. We will test a minimum of three (3) customer practice sites(s). This number covers a sufficient percentage of existing practices to provide a viable sample of users of the certified EHRs to demonstrate real-world interoperability.

| Element Tested            | 315(b)(2)             |   |   |  |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| Test Name                 | Number of C-CDAs Re   | eceived and/or Incorpora  | ted   |  |
| Measure Used              | upon receipt from a 3 | How many C-CDAs are successfully received and/or incorporated upon receipt from a 3rd party via Direct messaging during a transition of care event over the course of a given interval. |   |  |
| Data Collection<br>Method | Meaningful Use Repo   | Meaningful Use Reports  |   |  |
| Results                   | Results               | Variances   | Comments  |  |
| Client #1                 | 41                    |   | The value represents the number of CCDAs received and incorporated. |  |
| Client #2                 | 0                     |   | The value represents the number of CCDAs received and incorporated. |  |
| Client #3                 | 2251                  |   | The value represents the number of CCDAs received and incorporated  |  |



RWT Measure #3. Number of Patients Given Access to Portal – REMOVED (see *Changes to Original Plan*)



# RWT Measure #4. Number of Immunization Messages Successfully Sent to IIS/Immunization Registries

Associated Criteria: 315(f)(1)

Testing Methodology: Reporting/Logging

#### Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many immunization messages are created and successfully sent from the EHR Module to an IIS/immunization registry over the course of a given interval.

The interval for this measure will be for a minimum of three (3) consecutive months during the calendar year. This will ensure a sufficient time to gauge and measure interoperability.

#### Measurement Justification

This measure will provide a numeric value to indicate both how often this interoperability feature is being used as well as its compliance to the requirement. An increment to this measure indicates that the EHR can create an immunization message, including ability to record all clinical data elements, and by sending the message, the EHR demonstrates successful interoperability with an IIS/immunization registry.

#### Measurement Expected Outcome

The measurement will produce numeric results over a given interval. We will utilize various reports and audit logs, to determine our measure count.

A successful measure increment indicates compliance to the underlying ONC criteria. It will show that the EHR can create the HL7 immunization record, including the ability to record the required clinical data elements. In sending the immunization message, the EHR will demonstrate the ability to confirm successful interoperability of patient's immunization data to an IIS/immunization registry. Successfully completing this measure also implies users have a general understanding of the EHR functional operations for this EHR Module and an overall support for the user experience while not completing this measure may indicate lack of understanding or possibly lack of use or need for this functionality.

We will use the measure count to establish a historic baseline of expected interoperability use so it can be used in subsequent real-world testing efforts.



#### Care Settings and Number of Clients Site to Test

We designed this measure to test a general ambulatory care setting that we support and target. We will test a minimum of three (3) customer practice sites(s). This number covers a sufficient percentage of existing practices to provide a viable sample of users of the certified EHRs to demonstrate real world interoperability.

#### **Measurement Actual Outcome**

| Element Tested            | 315(f)(1) |   |  |  |
|---------------------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| Test Name                 |           | Number of Immunization Messages Successfully Sent to IIS/Immunization Registries  |  |  |
| Measure Used              | · ·       | How many immunization messages are created and successfully sent from the EHR Module to an IIS/immunization registry over the course of a 3 month interval. |  |  |
| Data Collection<br>Method | Report    |   |  |  |
| Results                   | Results   | Variances   | Comments   |  |
| Client #1                 | 0         |   | Client did not administer Immunizations during the 3-month interval. |  |
| Client #2                 | 0         |   | Client did not administer Immunizations during the 3-month interval. |  |
| Client #3                 | 0         |   | Client did not administer Immunizations during the 3-month interval. |  |

<u>Note:</u> There was no adoption of this measure during the testing period, however, we explained the available functionality to our clients. Due to "real world" testing requiring an integration with a registry, we tested internally using the NIST Immunization Test Suite tool. We used several test scenarios, each containing 3 test cases. The test procedures and validation reports have been documented.



#### RWT Measure #5. Number of Direct Messages Successfully Sent

Associated Criteria: 315(h)(1)

Testing Methodology: Reporting/Logging

#### Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many direct messages were successfully sent from the EHR Module to a 3rd party over the course of a given interval.

The interval for this measure will be for a minimum of three (3) consecutive months during the calendar year. This will ensure sufficient time to gauge and measure interoperability.

#### Measurement Justification

This measure will provide a numeric value to indicate both how often this interoperability feature is being used as well as its compliance to the requirement. An increment to this measure indicates that the EHR can create a direct message and demonstrates successful interoperability of an exchanged message with a 3rd party.

#### Measurement Expected Outcome

The measurement will produce numeric results over a given interval. We will utilize various reports and audit logs, including Automated Measure (315.g.2) reports, to determine our measure count.

A successful measure increment indicates compliance to the underlying ONC criteria. It will show that the EHR can be authenticated with DirectTrust, create a direct message, and demonstrate interoperability of an exchanged message with a 3rd party. Successfully completing this measure also implies users have a general understanding of the EHR functional operations for this EHR Module and an overall support for the user experience while not completing this measure may indicate lack of understanding or possibly lack of use or need for this functionality.

We will use the measure count to establish a historic baseline of expected interoperability use so it can be used in subsequent real world testing efforts.

#### Care Settings and Number of Clients Site to Test

We designed this measure to test a general ambulatory care setting that we support and target. We will test a minimum of three (3) customer practice sites(s). This number covers a sufficient percentage of existing practices to provide a viable sample of users of the certified EHRs to demonstrate real world interoperability.



| Element Tested            | 315(h)(1)            |  |  |
|---------------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Test Name                 | Number of Direct Mes | ssages Successfully Sent                           |  |
| Measure Used              | •                    | sages were successfully sover the course of a give |  |
| Data Collection<br>Method | Count                |  |  |
| Results                   | Results              | Variances  | Comments   |
| Client #1                 | 4                    |  |  |
| Client #2                 | 0                    |  | Client did not use direct messaging, but the functionality was demonstrated. |
| Client #3                 | 6                    |  |  |



#### RWT Measure #6. Number of Patient Batch Exports Run

Associated Criteria: 315(b)(6)

Testing Methodology: Reporting/Logging

#### Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many batch exports of C-CDAs were successfully performed by the EHR Module over the course of a given interval.

The interval for this measure will be three (3) months.

#### Measurement Justification

This measure will provide a numeric value to indicate both the how often this interoperability feature is being used as well as its compliance to the requirement. An increment to this measure indicates that the EHR can create a batch export of multiple C-CDA patient summary records.

#### Measurement Expected Outcome

The measurement will produce numeric results over a given interval. We will utilize various reports and audit logs to determine our measure count.

A successful measure increment indicates compliance to the underlying ONC criteria. It will show that the EHR can create a batch export of multiple C-CDA patient summary records, which can be used in means of health IT interoperability. Successfully completing this measure also implies users have a general understanding of the EHR functional operations for this EHR Module and an overall support for the user experience while not completing this measure may indicate lack of understanding or possibly lack of use or need for this functionality. We will document any errors and investigate them as necessary.

We will use the measure count to establish a historic baseline of expected interoperability use so it can be used in subsequent real world testing efforts.

#### Care Settings and Number of Clients Site to Test

We designed this measure to test a general ambulatory care setting that we support and target. We will test a minimum of three (3) customer practice sites(s). This number covers a sufficient percentage of existing practices to provide a viable sample of users of the certified EHRs to demonstrate real world interoperability.



| Element Tested            | 315(b)(6)   |                |                                       |
|---------------------------|---|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Test Name                 | Number of Patient Bat   | ch Exports Run |                                       |
| Measure Used              | How many batch exports of C-CDAs were successfully performed by the EHR Module over the course of a 3 month interval. |                |                                       |
| Data Collection<br>Method |   |                |                                       |
| Results                   | Results   | Variances      | Comments                              |
| Client #1                 | 0   |                | Client did not perform batch exports. |
| Client #2                 | 0   |                | Client did not perform batch exports. |
| Client #3                 | 0   |                | Client did not perform batch exports. |

**Note:** There was no adoption of this measure during the testing period, however, we confirmed availability of functionality in all client environments.

We tested the ability to execute a formatted batch export by an admin using the available timeframe configuration fields. This was tested and executed in an environment that mirrors a production environment.



# RWT Measure #7. Number of Quality Measures Successfully Reported on to CMS

Associated Criteria: 315(c)(1)-(c)(3)

Testing Methodology: Reporting/Logging

#### Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many eCQM quality measures were successfully reported on by the EHR Module to CMS over the course of a given interval.

The interval for this measure will be based on CMS submission window.

#### Measurement Justification

This measure will provide a count and list of electronic clinical quality measures (eCQMs) which are calculated and submitted to CMS for a given program, like MIPS. Clinical quality measures are only used for the respective CMS programs and any production measures should utilize submission to CMS. Because CQM criteria, 315(c)(1)-(c)(3), all work collectively together in the eCQM functionality of the EHR Module, this measurement is used for all three.

#### Measurement Expected Outcome

The measurement will a count and list of eCQMs submitted to CMS over a given interval. We will utilize various reports and audit logs to determine our measure count.

A successful measure submission indicates compliance to the underlying ONC criteria. It will show that the EHR can do calculations on the eCQM and that they are accepted by CMS. Successfully completing this measure also implies users have a general understanding of the EHR functional operations for this EHR Module and an overall support for the user experience while not completing this measure may indicate lack of understanding or possibly lack of use or need for this functionality. We will document any errors and investigate them as necessary.

We will use the measure result to establish a historic baseline of expected interoperability use so it can be used in subsequent real world testing efforts.

#### Care Settings and Number of Clients Site to Test

We designed this measure to test a general ambulatory care setting that we support and target. We will test a minimum of three (3) customer practice sites(s). This number covers a sufficient percentage of existing practices to provide a viable sample of users of the certified EHRs to demonstrate real world interoperability.



| Element Tested            | 315(c)(1) - (c)(3)   |   |          |
|---------------------------|--|---|----------|
| Test Name                 | Number of Quality Measures Successfully Reported on to CMS |   |          |
| Measure Used              | · ·  | ity measures were succe<br>S over the course of the |          |
| Data Collection<br>Method | Count  |   |          |
| Results                   | Results  | Variances   | Comments |
| Client #1                 | 6  |   |          |
| Client #2                 | 6  |   |          |
| Client #3                 | 6  |   |          |



# RWT Measure #8. Number of API Client Applications Successfully Connected to our API Service

Associated Criteria: 315(g)(7)

Testing Methodology: Reporting/Logging

#### Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many successful 3<sup>rd</sup> party API client applications can access patient data elements via our API over the course of a given interval.

The interval for this measure will be three (3) months.

#### Measurement Justification

This measure is counting how many API applications can be registered, authenticated, and actively working with our EHR. The metric will provide a numeric value to indicate both how often this interoperability feature is being used as well as its compliance to the requirement. An increment to this measure indicates that a 3<sup>rd</sup> party application can be registered and authenticated with our EHR and then can successfully query the clinical resources of the patient health record via the API interface and thus demonstrate API interoperability.

#### Measurement Expected Outcome

The measurement will produce numeric results over a given interval. We will utilize various reports and audit logs, to determine our measure count.

A successful measure increment indicates compliance to the underlying ONC criteria. It will show that a 3<sup>rd</sup> party client can be authenticated, that the patient record can be properly identified and selected, and that the EHR can make patient data accessible via its API interface. Successfully completing this measure also implies the public API documentation is accurate and sufficient for 3<sup>rd</sup> parties to connect and use the API while not completing this measure may indicate lack of understanding or possibly lack of use or need for this functionality. We will document any errors and investigate them as necessary.

We will use the measure count to establish a historic baseline of expected interoperability use so it can be used in subsequent real world testing efforts.

#### Care Settings and Number of Clients Site to Test

We designed this measure to test a general ambulatory care setting that we support and target. We will test a minimum of three (3) customer practice sites(s). This number covers a sufficient percentage of existing practices to provide a viable sample of users of the certified EHRs to demonstrate real world interoperability.



#### **Measurement Actual Outcome**

| Element Tested            | 315(g)(7)                         |   |   |  |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Test Name                 | Number of API Client A<br>Service | pplications Su  | uccessfully Connected to our API  |  |
| Measure Used              | •                                 | How many successful 3rd party API client applications can access patient data elements via our API over the course of a 3 month interval. |   |  |
| Data Collection<br>Method | Count                             |   |   |  |
| Results                   | Results                           | Variances   | Comments  |  |
| Client #1                 | N/A                               |   | Client did not need to connect via APIs; demonstrated where client can access resources on the functionality. |  |
| Client #2                 | N/A                               |   | Client did not need to connect via APIs; demonstrated where client can access resources on the functionality. |  |
| Client #3                 | N/A                               |   | Client did not need to connect via APIs; demonstrated where client can access resources on the functionality. |  |

**Note:** There was no adoption of this functionality, however, we successfully tested and verified the ability to receive a request with sufficient information to uniquely identify a patient and return an ID that can be used by an application to subsequently execute requests for that patient's data. This was tested in an internal environment (QA1 AM) which mirrors production. In addition, we verified that the API included documentation which is available via a publicly accessible hyperlink.